## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4

DAVID KHAN, ET. AL.,

VS.

AL.,

Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

CITY OF PINOLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, ET.

status should continue for this appeal. (Dkt. No. 63.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CASE No. 4:19-cv-06316-YGR

ORDER RE: NINTH CIRCUIT REFERRAL NOTICE

Re: Dkt. No. 63

On July 2, 2020, the Court issued its Order which, as relevant here, granted defendants' motions to dismiss. (Dkt. No. 60.) On that same day, plaintiff David Khan filed a Notice of Appeal from the Court's July 2, 2020 Order. (Dkt. No. 61.) On July 14, 2020, the Ninth Circuit referred the matter to this Court for a determination of whether Khan's *in forma pauperis* ("IFP")

This Court determines that it should not. There are no valid grounds on which an appeal can be based. Consequently, the Court certifies that any appeal taken from its July 2, 2020 Order will not be taken in good faith and is therefore frivolous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); Fed. R. App. P. ("FRAP") 24(a)(3)(A); *Ellis v. United States*, 356 U.S. 674, 674-75 (1958); *Hooker v. American Airlines*, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002). Accordingly, Khan's IFP status is **REVOKED**.

The Clerk of the Court shall forthwith notify Khan and the Ninth Circuit of this Order. *See* FRAP 24(a)(4). Khan may file a motion for leave to proceed IFP on appeal in the Ninth Circuit within **thirty** (30) **days** after service of notice of this Order. *See* FRAP 24(a)(5). Any such motion "must include a copy of the affidavit filed in the district court and the district court's statement of reasons for its action." *Id*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 4, 2020

YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

USCA emailed and Plaintiff emailed re this Order. clerk